

## PRESS RELEASE

**\*\*\*EMBARGOED UNTIL 00.01 HRS 15<sup>TH</sup> MARCH 2016\*\*\***

### LEADING ACADEMICS CALL FOR NEW APPROACH TO PUNISHMENT

**A network of over 60 leading researchers from across 23 countries has highlighted the emergence of ‘mass supervision’ in European criminal justice systems. Rather than providing a less expensive and more effective approach to punishment, in most countries supervision has grown *at the same time as* imprisonment, creating more expansive and more expensive penal systems which penetrate more deeply into the lives of increasing numbers of people.**

The network (COST Action IS1106 Offender Supervision in Europe, see [www.offendersupervision.eu](http://www.offendersupervision.eu)) has spent four years reviewing existing research and developing 6 pilot studies exploring different aspects of offender supervision, whether imposed in lieu of a sentence, as a sentence or after a custodial sentence.

The Vice-Chair of the network, Professor Kristel Beyens, of the Free University of Brussels said,

“We now know that expansion and diversification of supervision across Europe is not, in general, impacting significantly on prison populations. We also have emerging evidence from our own work that, contrary to common public perceptions, supervision is a painful experience, even when it is experienced as fair and helpful. The net of penal control is expanding and drawing more people in -- and we urgently need to address and arrest that expansion.”

The researchers have called for European countries to apply two core principles to the development of their justice systems:

1. Decisions about imposing and revoking supervision (like decisions about imprisonment) must be bound by proportionality. No one should be subject to more demanding or intrusive supervision than their offending deserves.
2. Supervision must be delivered in ways that actively minimize unintended and unnecessary pains both for those subject to supervision and for others affected by it (for example, family members).

The researchers also found that some social groups (e.g. people with mental health problems, foreign nationals, etc.) are commonly excluded from supervisory sanctions and measures and thus accelerated through the criminal justice system and into custodial sanctions and measures. They argue therefore supervision need to be made more accessible to these marginalized and vulnerable groups in appropriately targeted cases.

The pilot studies suggest that work to ensure people comply with supervision needs to be done in ways that are true to the spirit of the law and the intent of the sanction or measure and not just to its formal requirements. Efforts to improve the practice of offender supervision must attend carefully to fairness and helpfulness. There is now strong evidence these two qualities support engagement, minimize pains and help to secure positive outcomes in terms of citizens' integration and inclusion and help to reduce reoffending.

The network of researchers, noting the relative neglect of the topic in the social sciences to date, have called for more new research funding to move beyond pilot studies and seriously examine how supervision is experienced by those that it directly affects (not just 'offenders' but also families, communities and victims), on how key decisions about supervision are made and with what impacts, and on how practice is shaped and structured and how it can be best reformed.

Professor Fergus McNeill of the University of Glasgow (UK), Chair of the Action, concluded:

"We have spent four years studying a rarely examined aspect of criminal justice systems where fundamental relationships between the state, civil society and citizens are tested. These institutions, cultures and practices are mirrors into which we must look, even if we don't always like what we see. Working across 23 countries has forced us to examine our own justice systems and to see the possibilities for positive change more clearly".

## Notes

1. **Northern Ireland:** Probation Board for Northern Ireland (PBNI) statistics show that on 31<sup>st</sup> December 2015, 3415 people were subject to probation supervision in the community<sup>[5]</sup>, the prison population on the same date was 1449<sup>[6]</sup>.
2. **Republic of Ireland:** Probation Service statistics show that in March 2016, 8190 people were subject to probation supervision in the community<sup>[7]</sup>, on 11<sup>th</sup> March, 3809 people were in prison<sup>[8]</sup>.
3. The **final report of the Action** is published today, having been previewed at an international conference at the Free University of Brussels on 11-12<sup>th</sup> March 2016 attended by researchers, policymakers and probation leaders from across the continent. The conference also featured an exhibition of photographs (and curated by the artist Carolyne Kardia), and a performance (by Louis Abbott of the Scottish band, Admiral Fallow) of songs inspired by these images. Both the photographs and the songs have been produced through workshops involving people with experience of being supervised, of practising supervision and of studying supervision.

## Further information

Access the final report here:

<http://www.offendersupervision.eu/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/Final-Report.pdf>

Access information about the conference here:

<http://www.offendersupervision.eu/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/OSE-Brussels-Conference-Programme.pdf>

Contact details: Professor Fergus McNeill (Chair of the Action)  
[Fergus.McNeill@glasgow.ac.uk](mailto:Fergus.McNeill@glasgow.ac.uk)  
+44 (0)7795 252823

National Contact details: Dr Nicola Carr (Queen's University Belfast)  
Management Committee member of COST Action  
[n.carr@qub.ac.uk](mailto:n.carr@qub.ac.uk)  
+44 (0) 7702 621 362

## About COST

COST is the longest-running European framework supporting trans-national cooperation among researchers, engineers and scholars across Europe. It is a unique means for them to jointly develop their own ideas and new initiatives across all fields in science and technology, including social sciences and humanities, through pan-European networking of nationally funded research activities. Based on a European intergovernmental framework for cooperation in science and technology, COST has been contributing - since its creation in 1971 - to closing the gap between science, policy makers and society throughout Europe and beyond. As a precursor of advanced multidisciplinary research, COST plays a very important role in building a [European Research Area](#). It anticipates and complements the activities of the EU Framework Programmes, constituting a “bridge” towards the scientific communities of COST Inclusiveness Target Countries. It also increases the mobility of researchers across Europe and fosters the establishment of scientific excellence. For more information, see: [http://www.cost.eu/about\\_cost](http://www.cost.eu/about_cost)

**The opinions expressed in this press release and associated documents are the sole responsibility of the authors, and can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the European Commission.**

